

Current Priority Group	Target Groups	Number of assessments p/m	Recommendation & rationale	Proposed Priority Group and Nos of assessments p/m	
1	Renewals – Yearly review of current DoLS authorisation	As required	<p>Deprioritise yearly reviews. DCC will only assess requested future Part 8 Reviews.</p> <p>Organisation is assured that the placement is proportionate, necessary and in P’s Best Interest.</p> <p>Additional assessments do not benefit P and take necessary resources away from higher priority cases.</p> <p>Minimal financial awards from the Court of Protection.</p>	Low	Allocated if staff resources available.
2	People in DCC managed Homes	Allocate up to 2 assessments p/week	Retain priority grouping until all 21 outstanding assessments are completed.	Medium	Allocate up to 2 assessments p/week
3	Reviews of past cases	1 allocation per/fortnight.	<p>Remove from the priority grouping.</p> <p>New applications for these individuals are being made and appropriate Triaging can occur depending on their presentation.</p>	Low	N/A
4	Incoming Applications triaged as High (1 point or more)	Allocate as many as possible depending on BIA capacity	<p>Reprioritise as a Priority 1 situation</p> <p>Higher risk individuals who may be subject to unauthorised Deprivations which are not in their Best Interests.</p> <p>Potential Art 8 infringements as a result of safeguarding processes.</p> <p>High cost legal awards made in this type of situation</p>	High	Allocate as many as possible depending on BIA capacity

Current Priority Group	Target Groups	Number of assessments p/m	Recommendation & rationale	Proposed Priority Group and Nos of assessments p/m	
5	People not detained but in a Psychiatric Ward	As many as possible depending on BIA capacity	Reprioritise as high HL v UK type situations Often	High	Allocate has many as possible depending on BIA capacity
6	Young People in Residential Settings	Rare occurrence	Will address as a priority case when applications are made.	Medium	Prioritised if applications are made.